



Blind Source Separation - PCA, ICA, PARAFAC



- Multidimensional decomposition technique
- Decomposes three (or higher) -dimensional data into a series of distinct "atoms" or components (Smilde et al. (2004)).
- Extension of factor analysis to higher orders



Fig. 1. Graphical representation of the factor analysis to the left and the PARAFAC decomposition of a 3-way array to the right. Like the factor analysis, PARAFAC decomposes the data into factor effects pertaining to each modality. *F* denotes the number of factors.



- Independently proposed by
 - Harshman (1970) : Parallel Factor Analysis (**PARAFAC**)
 - Carrol and Chang (1970): Canonical Decomposition (CANDECOMP)
- Based on the principle of "Parallel Proportional Profiles" (Cattell (1944))
 - Two data matrices with the same variables should contain the same components and in the second matrix each "component should be accentuated or reduced in influence"(Cattel,1944,p.274)
 - Principle constrains the PARAFAC method

$$\mathbf{X}^{(i)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{(i)}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E}^{(i)} \text{, where } \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}^{(M)} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{D}^{(i)} \text{ is a diagonal matrix}$$



- Psychometrics
 - Analyzing multiple (dis)similarity matrices of a variety of subjects (Caroll at al. (1970))
- Chemometrics
 - Fluorescence emission spectra (Bro et al. (1996))
- Neuroscience
 - Decomposition of ERP data (Morup et al. (2005))
 - Identification of activity in specific frequency bands (Miwakeichi et al. (2004))
 - Localization of the seizure onset zone in epileptic data (Acar et al. (2007))
 - fMRI data (Martinez-Montes et al. (2004))



(a) Horizontal slices: X_i;

Tensors (I)

• Multidimensional array



(c) Frontal slices: $\mathbf{X}_{::k}$ (or \mathbf{X}_k)

Fig. 1.1: A third-order tensor: $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J \times K}$

(b) Lateral slices: X_{ii}

• Tensor unfolding



Figure 1.4: The six ways of unfolding the three-way array \boldsymbol{X} into a matrix.



Tensors (II)

• Rank-one tensors An N-way tensor $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_N}$ is rank one if it can be written as the outer product of N vectors, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathbf{a}^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{a}^{(2)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{a}^{(N)}.$$

The symbol "o" represents the vector outer product. This means that each element of the tensor is the product of the corresponding vector elements:

$$x_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_N} = a_{i_1}^{(1)} a_{i_2}^{(2)} \cdots a_{i_N}^{(N)}$$
 for all $1 \le i_n \le I_n$.



Fig. 2.3: Rank-one third-order tensor, $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{c}$. The (i, j, k) element of \mathfrak{X} is given by $x_{ijk} = a_i b_j c_k$.

The CP decomposition factorizes a tensor into a sum of component rank-one tensors. For example, given a third-order tensor $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J \times K}$, we wish to write it as

 $\mathfrak{X} pprox \sum_{r=1}^n \mathbf{a}_r \circ \mathbf{b}_r \circ \mathbf{c}_r,$

Definition of CANDECOMP/PARAFAC (CP) (I)

(3.1)

Systems Neuroscience & I Universität des saarlandes

Ш

Neurotechnology Unit

where R is a positive integer, and $\mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{R}^I$, $\mathbf{b}_r \in \mathbb{R}^J$, and $\mathbf{c}_r \in \mathbb{R}^K$, for $r = 1, \ldots, R$. Elementwise, (3.1) is written as



Fig. 3.1: CP decomposition of a three-way array.

Definition of CANDECOMP/PARAFAC (CP) (II)

$$\mathfrak{X} \approx \sum_{r=1}^{R} \mathbf{a}_r \circ \mathbf{b}_r \circ \mathbf{c}_r, \tag{3.1}$$

The factor matrices refer to the combination of the vectors from the rank-one components, i.e., $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_R \end{bmatrix}$ and likewise for **B** and **C**. Using these definitions, (3.1) may be written in matricized form

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{dimension:} & \mathsf{I} \; x \; \mathsf{J}\mathsf{K} & \mathbf{X}_{(1)} \approx \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{B})^\mathsf{T}, \\ & \mathsf{J} \; x \; \mathsf{K}\mathsf{I} & \mathbf{X}_{(2)} \approx \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{A})^\mathsf{T}, \\ & \mathsf{K} \; x \; \mathsf{J}\mathsf{I} & \mathbf{X}_{(3)} \approx \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{B} \odot \mathbf{A})^\mathsf{T}. \end{array}$

UNIVERSITÄT DES

Systems Neuroscience &

Neurotechnology Unit

The Khatri-Rao product [200] is the "matching columnwise" Kronecker product. Given matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times K}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times K}$, their Khatri-Rao product is denoted by $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B}$. The result is a matrix of size $(IJ) \times K$ and defined by

 $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_K \otimes \mathbf{b}_K \end{bmatrix}.$

The Kronecker product of matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{I \times J}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times L}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$. The result is a matrix of size $(IK) \times (JL)$ and defined by

$$\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}\mathbf{B} & a_{12}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & a_{1J}\mathbf{B} \\ a_{21}\mathbf{B} & a_{22}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & a_{2J}\mathbf{B} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{I1}\mathbf{B} & a_{I2}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & a_{IJ}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2 & \mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_J \otimes \mathbf{b}_{L-1} & \mathbf{a}_J \otimes \mathbf{b}_L \end{bmatrix}.$$



Figure 1.4: The six ways of unfolding the three-way array $oldsymbol{\chi}$ into a matrix.

The three-way model is sometimes written in terms of the frontal slices of \mathfrak{X}

$$\mathbf{X}_k \approx \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{(k)} \mathbf{B}^\mathsf{T}$$

where $\mathbf{D}^{(k)} \equiv \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{c}_{k:})$
for $k = 1, \dots, K$.



Graphical Illustration



Fig. 1. Graphical explanation of the PARAFAC model. The multichannel EEG evolutionary spectrum S is obtained from a channel by channel wavelet transform. S is a three-way data array indicated by channel, frequency, and time. PARAFAC decomposes this array into the sum of "atoms". The *k*th atom is the tri-linear product of loading vectors representing spatial (a_k) , spectral (b_k) , and temporal (c_k) "signatures". Under these conditions, PARAFAC can be summarized as finding the matrices $A = \{a_k\}, B = \{b_k\},$ and $C = (c_k)$, which explain S with minimal residual error.



Example



Acar et al. (2007)



- Kruskal's condition for essential uniqueness
 - k-rank of matrix A (size: n x r): maximum number of columns of the matrix A that are linearly independent (Kruskal (1977))

– Condition:

 $2R + 2 \le k_{\rm A} + k_{\rm B} + k_{\rm C}$



1. Preprocessing

Centering

Centering the first mode can be done by unfolding the calibration array to an $I \times JK$ matrix, and then center this matrix as in ordinary PCA:

$$x_{ijk}^{\text{cent}} = x_{ijk} - \overline{x_{jk}}$$

where

$$\overline{x_{jk}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} x_{ijk}}{I}$$

– Scaling

$$x_{ijk}^{\text{scal}} = \frac{x_{ijk}}{s_i}$$

where s_i can be defined as

$$s_i = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ijk}^2\right)}$$





- 2. Determine the number of (rank-one) components
 - Fit multiple CP decompositions with different numbers of components

Fit percentage =
$$\frac{\left\|\underline{\mathbf{X}}\right\|^2 - \left\|\underline{\mathbf{E}}\right\|^2}{\left\|\underline{\mathbf{X}}\right\|^2} \cdot 100$$

- Choose R such that adding more components does not significantly increase the fit in percentage
- Example:





- 2. Determine the number of (rank-one) components
 - Core Consistency Diagnostic (CORCONDIA) (Bro et al., 2003)
 - The core consistency quantifies the resemblance between a Tucker3 and a PARAFAC core
 - PARAFAC core T is zero apart from along the superdiagonal which has ones
 - Tucker3 core G is calculated by inserting the obtained factor matrices A,B, and C of the PARAFAC model



 $Core\ Consistency = 100 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{d=1}^{F} \sum_{e=1}^{F} \sum_{f=1}^{F} (t_{def} - g_{def})^{2}}{\sum_{d=1}^{F} \sum_{e=1}^{F} \sum_{f=1}^{F} g_{def}^{2}}\right)$

Core consistency > 90%: PARAFAC model with the specified number of components would be an appropriate model for the data.



- 3. Compute CP decomposition via Alternating Least Squares (besides others)
 - Initialize all model parameters randomly (or eigenvalue decompositions)
 - Update each parameter by minimizing a cost function with respect to the parameter while holding all other parameters fixed
 - PARAFAC model $\mathbf{X}^{T \times JK} = \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{S} \otimes | \mathbf{B})^T$
 - Cost function

 $\min \left\| \mathbf{X}^{I \times JK} - \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{S} | \boldsymbol{\otimes} | \mathbf{B} \right)^{T} \right\|^{2}$

- Stopping criterion
 - Number of iterations
 - Little change in factor matrices, objective function

ALSPARAFAC

Initialize B and S iter=0, $\Delta SSE > \varepsilon$, $SSE_0=0$ While iter < Criterion & $\Delta SSE > \varepsilon$ iter=iter+1 $\mathbf{Z} = \left(\mathbf{S} | \otimes | \mathbf{B} \right)$ $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}^{(I \times JK)} \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z})^+$ $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{S} \otimes |\mathbf{A})$ $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{X}^{(J \times IK)} \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z})^+$ $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{B} \otimes |\mathbf{A})$ $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^{(K \times IJ)} \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z})^+$ $SSE_{iter} = \left\| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{B} \right) \otimes \left| \mathbf{S} \right)^T \right\|^2$ $\Delta SSE = |SSE_{iter} - SSE_{iter} - 1|$



Parallel Factor Analysis as an exploratory tool for wavelet transformed event-related EEG (Mørup et al., 2005)

- PARAFAC used to decompose wavelet transformed eventrelated EEG
- 64 Electrode EEG
- Visual presentation of black white drawings (Objects, Non-Objects)
- Subjects respond by mouseclick if picture is Object or not (Ob, Nob)



- multi-way decompositions:
 - Multi-way array of F test values from analysis of variance (ANOVA)
 - 3-way array (c,f,t) of inter-trial phase coherence (ITPC)

ITPC
$$(c,f,t) = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{e=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{X}_e(c,f,t)}{|\mathbf{X}_e(c,f,t)|} \right|$$

Also referred to as phase locking factor, measure of evoked activity

- ITPC value of 1 indicates perfect phase coherence in all epochs
- random noise on the average has a coherence of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$
- 5-way array of ITPC, additional modalities: subject and condition



Flow chart of Analysis



- 1. Wavelet transform of EEG-Data
- 2. MOI = Measure of interest (i.e. ITPC) calculated for each subject and each condition
- 3. 3-way array of ANOVA F test value calculated
- F test arrays analyzed using PARAFAC to find region of interest (ROI), region of most difference between 2 conditions (here time-frequency domain)
 - ROI analyzed using PARAFAC on 5-way array (channel x frequency x time x subject x condition) of ITPC
 - ROI analyzed using PARAFAC on 3-way array (channel x frequency x time) of ITPC



Results

• The 3-way array PARAFAC analysis of ANOVA



- testing the difference between the two conditions (Ob and Nob) for all subjects
- mainly in the gamma band around 40–80 Hz
- occipital region
- at about 100 ms

 \rightarrow fits expected data from previous studies



Results

• PARAFAC for ITPC 5-way array ITPC



- Result: two-component PARAFAC model
- First component (first row):

occipital activity at ~ 30Hz and 100ms, in all subjects (not strong in subjects 3,4,5), in both conditions (stronger in 1 = Ob)

 \rightarrow fits expected results

• <u>Second component (second row):</u>

More anteriorly, higher frequency and 100ms, not present in 1,4,10,11, almost only in condition 2 (= Nob) \rightarrow Doesn't fit expected results



- PARAFAC is a multidimensional decomposition technique
- Tensor can be decomposed into a sum of rank-one components
- Number of components can be determined via CORCONDIA or via percentage of fit
- PARAFAC model can be calculated via Alternating Least Squares Algorithm



Thank you for your attention!

